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What is Part Two about?

- to investigate computability, i.e., what problems are computable.
- but this requires a precise definition on computability
- to achieve the definition, we need a formal computation model

Chapter 3 - defines Turing machine as the computation model
Chapter 4 - study some problems that are decidable/not decidable
Chapter 5-study the equivalence between decidable problems
$\square$
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Turing machines can do what PDA/CFG cannot do.
E.g., to recognize language $\left\{a^{n} b^{n} c^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$
how?
the read head can count the numbers of $a, b$, and $c$, by

- scanning back and forth, and
- marking the read ones with special symbols.

Another example: $\quad\left\{w \# w \mid w \in \Sigma^{*}\right\}$

- This looks different from $\left\{w w \mid w \in \Sigma^{*}\right\}$
- But can it be recognized by a PDA?
- Turing machines can recognize it!


## Formal definition of a Turing machine

## Definition 3.3

A Turing machine is a 7 -tuple, $\left(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_{0}, q_{\text {accept }}, q_{\text {reject }}\right)$, where $Q, \Sigma, \Gamma$ are all finite sets, and

1. $Q$ is the set of states,
2. $\Sigma$ is the input alphabet, not including the blank symbol $\sqcup$,
3. $\Gamma$ is the tape alphabet, where $\sqcup \in \Gamma$ and $\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$,
4. $\delta: Q \times \Gamma \longrightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times\{L, R\}$ is the transition function,
5. $q_{0} \in Q$ is the start state,
6. $q_{\text {accept }} \in Q$ is the accept state, and
7. $q_{\text {reject }} \in Q$ is the reject state, where $q_{\text {accept }} \neq q_{\text {reject }}$.
$\square$

- about input tape:
when it starts, the input tape has content $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$, and the rest of the tape consists of blank symbols ப's
- about transition function $\delta$ :
$\delta: Q \times \Gamma \longrightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times\{L, R\}$
cannot move off the leftmost position, even if $L$ is used.
- about halting:
entering $q_{\text {accept }}$ and $q_{\text {reject }}$ halts the machine immediately, infinite loop, if neither state is entered.

Use configuration to define the machine status at any moment

- consisting of current state,
- current read head position,
- current tape content,
e.g., configuration $1011 q_{7} 01111$, assume $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$,
e.g., initial input content, assume $\Sigma=\{a, b, c\}, \Gamma=\Sigma \cup\{\#, \$, \&, \sqcup\}$
a a a a b b b b c c c c
a few steps later:
\# \#q4a a \$ \$ b b \& \& c c
Note that the read head points to the symbol to the right of the state id.

Formalizing the intuitive way a Turing machine computes:
Configuration $C_{1}$ yields configuration $C_{2}$ if the machine can go from $C_{1}$ to $C_{2}$ in a single step.

Formally, let $a, b, c \in \Gamma, u, v \in \Gamma^{*}$, and $q_{i}, q_{j} \in Q$. We say
$u a q_{i} b v$ yields $u q_{j} a c v$
if $\delta\left(q_{i}, b\right)=\left(q_{j}, c, L\right)$, and
$u a q_{i} b v$ yields $u a c q_{j} v$
if $\delta\left(q_{i}, b\right)=\left(q_{j}, c, R\right)$.


Definition. A Turing machine $M$ accepts an input $w$ if a sequence of configurations $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{k}$, for some $k \geq 1$, exists, such that

1. $C_{1}$ is the start configuration of $M$ on input $w$,
2. $C_{i}$ yields $C_{i+1}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$, and
3. $C_{k}$ is an accepting configuration.

The collection of strings accepted by $M$ is
the language of $M$, or
the language recognized by $M$.
Denoted $L(M)$.

Definition 3.5 A language $A$ is Turing recognizable if $A=L(M)$ for some Turing machine $M$. ( $A$ is also called recursively enumerable)

Note that on an input $w$, a Turing machine may accept and halt, reject and half, or never halt.
A Turing machine decides if it halts. It is called decider.

Definition 3.6 A language $A$ is Turing decidable or simply decidable if $A=L(M)$ for some Turing machine decider $M$. ( $A$ is also called recursive)




Explanation for Figure 3.8.

- from $q_{1}$ to $q_{2}$, mark the first 0 as $\sqcup$ to indicate the leftmost
- if no $\quad-q_{2}$ move right, skips all $\times$ 's, if no more 0 , go to
$q_{\text {accept }}$, or
- cross the first encountered 0 , go to $q_{3}$
- $q_{3}$ together with $q_{4}$ skip all $\times$ 's, cross every other 0
- if not even number of 0 , from $q_{4}$ go to $q_{\text {reject }}$
- reach the rightmost, move left, go to $q_{5}$
- move left, $q_{5}$ skips all $\times$ 's and 0's
- reach the leftmost, go to $q_{2}$

Configuration changes for input 0000 at the bottom of page 144.
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Explanation for Figure 3.10

- from $q_{1}$, go to $q_{2}$ if read 0 ; go to $q_{3}$ if read 1 , cross current symbol - from $q_{2}$, move right, skip all 0 's and 1 's, until reach $\#$, go to $q_{4}$, - from $q_{4}$, move right, skip all $\times$ 's until 0 , go to $q_{6}$, move left
- from $q_{6}$, move left, skip all 0 's, 1 's, $\times$ 's, until \#, go to $q_{7}$, move left
- from $q_{7}$, move left, skip all 0 's, 1 's until $\times$, go to $q_{1}$, move right
- from $q_{3}$, symmetrically similar to from $q_{2}$.
- from $q_{1}$, if \# (no more 0 's or 1's on its left), go to $q_{8}$, move right
- from $q_{8}$, if no more 0 's or 1 's, go to $q_{\text {accept }}$

Can you trace configuration changes for input 010\#010?


### 3.2 Variants of Turing Machines

## Multitape Turing machines

- Have $k$ tapes, each with a head to read and write
- $k-1$ tapes start out blank
- Transition function $\delta: Q \times \Gamma^{k} \longrightarrow Q \times \Gamma^{k} \times\{L, R, S\}^{k}$

Theorem 3.13 Every multitape Turing machine has an equivalent single-tape Turing machine.

Proof idea:

- assigning space for $k$ tapes using delimiter, e.g., \#
- shift right to get more space


## Nondeterministic Turing machines

- Transition function $\delta: Q \times \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \Gamma \times\{L, R\})$

Theorem 3.16 Every nondeterministic Turing machine has an equivalent deterministic Turing machine.

Proof idea:

- computation of NTM is a tree (possiblyinfinite)
- each node is a configuration, the root is the start configuration
- from a parent, there can be $m$ children configuration
- breadth-first-search (why depth-first-search may not work?)

[^0]

Theorem 3.21 A language can be recognized by a TM if and only if there is an enumerator enumerates it.

Proof ideas for:
(1). Turing enumerable $\Longrightarrow$ Turing recognizable.

- assume $E$ an enumerator for language $L$,
- construct $M$ to recognize input $w$ by run $E$, and compare $w$ with all strings output by $E$ if $w$ ever appears in the output of $E, M$ accepts $w$
- if $w$ is in $L(E)$, it will get printed on the tape eventually, so will be accepted by $M$.
strings outputted may repeat
(2) Turing recognizable $\Longrightarrow$ Turing enumerable.
- assume $M$ a Turing machine that recognizes language $L$.
- construct $E$ to enumerate $L(M)$ by
- for each string $w=\epsilon, 0,1,00,01,10,11,000,001, \ldots$,
simulate $M$ on $w$
if $M$ accepts $w$, print $w$ out.
(but would this work?)
- Let $s_{1}=\epsilon, s_{2}=0, s_{3}, s_{4}=00, \ldots$,
- for $i=1,2, \ldots$,
run $M i$ steps on each of $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{i}$
if $M$ accepts $s_{j}$, print $s_{j}$.


## Other equivalent models

Turing machines with two-way tapes
Turing machines with multi-cells at each position of tapes
Parallel models

- PRAM
- Boolean circuits
- parallel computers (shared memory, message passing)
- distributed systems

Other models
quantum computers
bio-computers
chemical computers

### 3.3 Definition of Algorithm

$\underline{\text { But first, an old slide (Slide 13): }}$

Definition 3.5 A language $A$ is Turing recognizable if $A=L(M)$ for some Turing machine $M$. ( $A$ is also called recursively enumerable)

Note that on an input $w$, a Turing machine may accept and halt, reject and half, or never halt.

A Turing machine decides if it halts. It is called decider.

Definition 3.6 A language $A$ is Turing decidable or simply decidable if $A=L(M)$ for some Turing machine decider $M$. $(A$ is also called recursive)


Church-Turing Thesis:
Everything computable is computable by a Turing machine.

Three formal systems:
The first-order logic,
$\lambda$-calculus, and
Turing machines

Three programming (language) paradigms: logic programming languages: prolog functional programming languages: LISP
procedural programming languages: C, etc


Examples of problems that have Algorithms

Problem 1: Testing if a single-variable polynomial, e.g., $6 x^{3}-3 x^{2}+24 x-17$ has an integral root

That is to test if there is an integer solution for the equation $6 x^{3}-3 x^{2}+24 x-17=0$

There is a finite process to decide the question, given such a polynomial.

- enumerating all integers $0,-1,1,-2,2, \ldots$
- the process is not infinite because
the root should be within the range $\left[-k \frac{c_{\text {max }}}{c_{1}},+k \frac{c_{\text {max }}}{c_{1}}\right]$

Problems 2: Testing if a given graph is connected (Example 3.23, page 157)

$$
A=\{\langle G\rangle \mid G \text { is connected }\}
$$

$A$ is decidable, i.e., there is an algorithm (TM that halts) for it. on input $\langle G\rangle$, encoding of $G$,

1. select the first node and mark it
2. repeat the following until no new nodes are marked
3. for each node in $G$, mark it if it shares an edge with a marked node
4. if all nodes are mark, accept, otherwise reject

Illustration by Figure 3.24 (page 158)

Problem 3. Testing if a polynomial has an integral root

$$
\text { e.g., } 6 x^{3} y z^{2}+3 x y^{2}-x^{3}-10
$$

- the polynomial may contain multiple variables (e.g., $x, y, z$ )
- it is not possible to get bounds for these variables
- an enumerating process similar to the algorithm for Problem 1 may not halt
- it is not decidable
- But it is solvable by a TM (which may not stop)
- The corresponding encoded language is recursively enumerable/Turing recognizable.



[^0]:    Technical details for the proof:

    - A DTM simulates the computation of a given NTM on input
    - search through the computation/configuration tree
    - use input tape, address tape
    - address store current path from the root to the current level - in the form of 121323 at level 6 , excluding the root level - assuming 3 branches
    - simulation tape (simulate deterministically, given the path)

