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Section I. Overview

A. Reader Interest

1. Which category describes this manuscript?
   - Practice/Application/Case Study/Experience Report
   - Research/Technology
   - Survey/Tutorial/How-To

B. Content

1. Please explain how this manuscript advances this field of research and/or contributes something new to the literature.
   Paper describes a way to find the credibility of the data on twitter, in context of news.

C. Presentation

1. Does the introduction state the objectives of the manuscript in terms that encourage the reader to read on?
   - Yes
   - Could be improved
   - No

2. How would you rate the organization of the manuscript? Is it focused? Is the length appropriate for the topic?
   - Satisfactory
   - Could be improved
   - Poor

3. Please rate and comment on the readability of this manuscript.
   - Easy to read
   - Readable - but requires some effort to understand
   - Difficult to read and understand
   - Unreadable

Section II. Evaluation

Please rate the manuscript. Explain your choice.
   - Award Quality
   - Excellent
   - Good
   - Fair
Section III. Detailed Comments (provide your thoughts/criticism about the ideas in the paper; not only summarize the paper but have a critical look here)

The paper is well written and the results and clear and understandable. The examples help to understand the idea further. The part where they describe credible news from not so credible with options such as true, likely to be true, not true etc. can be improved.

Additional Comments:
1. Provide one aspect that you liked the most in this paper.

The results are evaluated very well.

2. Provide one aspect that you disliked the most in this paper.

The news categorization may have helped, like classifying news by their types.

Section IV. Discussion Points (provide at least 3 discussion topics/questions related to ideas/techniques described in the paper; these will be used for discussions in the class)
How the categorization works?
Details about the result generation.
How it took past data into account?