## Motivation

- Digital Images: Merging and Blending Images

Blending

- Different methods for Combing Multiple Images to Generate a New Image
- Merging two images
- How are the two signals blended?
- Window sizes used for merging images
- Advantages of a using the Fourier Domain
- Applications Panoramas

Blending Application: Panorama
Merging Two Images


Merging Two Images


- Grayscale


Merging Two Images


- Method 1: 50/50 Blend

Merging Two Images


- 50/50 Blend
- Photoshop

Merging Two Images


- Goal: Blend around the middle so they blend seamlessly together
- Remove Sharp Line

Merging Two Images


- Goal: Blend around the middle so they blend seamlessly together
- Remove Sharp Line

Merging Two Images

$0^{10}$


- Method 2: Cross Fading

Merging Two Images


- Method 2: Cross Fading

Refinements


- Window Size


## What is the Best Window Size



- Too large : Ghostly artifacts
- Too small : See edges or seam between two images.


## What is the Optimal Size?

- Avoid "Seams" of too small window sizes
- Window size = the size of largest most prominent feature (window needs to fully contain it)
- Expand it: Otherwise it looks cut off, so expand it to contain it.
- Avoid Ghostly Artifacts of too large window sizes
- Window size <= 2*size of smallest prominent feature
- Shrink it.
- Recast to related it to Frequency
- Image frequency should occupy one octave (power of two)
- Largest frequency <= 2* size of smallest frequency


## What if the frequency spread is too wide?

- Avoid Seams: Image frequency content should occupy one "octave" (expand it to allow it)
- Avoid Ghosting: Largest frequency <= 2* size of smallest frequency (shrink it to disallow ghosting)

- Idea: Use the frequency domain to extract the window size


Idea (Burt and Adelson) 1983:

- Compute $F_{\text {left }}=\operatorname{FFT}\left(I_{\text {left }}\right), F_{\text {right }}=\operatorname{FFT}\left(I_{\text {right }}\right)$
- Decompose Fourier image into octaves (bands)

$$
-F_{\text {left }}=F_{\text {left1 }}+F_{\text {left } 2}+\ldots
$$

- Feather corresponding octaves $F_{\text {lefti }}$ with $F_{\text {righti }}$
- Sum feathered octave images in frequency domain


## Recap

- Key Insight: Coarse structure should blend very slowly between images (lots of feathering), while fine details should transition more quickly.
- Advantage: Both ghosting and seams are blended
- Disadvantage: Inefficient going back and forth between the frequency and the spatial domains.
- Next Approach: Use Pyramids - avoid (reduce) dealing with lots of pixels at computational steps by 'modularizing' image into a set of images of different resolutions.


## Gaussian Pyramid



- Convolution: Run a Gaussian $3 \times 3$ kernel over it to make a smaller image (create replacement value) and place it in the new 'smaller image'


## Side Note: Binomial Coefficients

$$
a_{n r}=\frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}=\binom{n}{r}
$$

$n=$ number of elements in the 1D filter minus 1
$r=$ position of element in the filter kernel $(0,1,2 \ldots)$

| 11 | [12 1 1 1 /4 - approximates Gaussian with sigma $=1 /$ sqrt(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 121 |  |
| 1331 |  |
| 14641 |  |
| $15101051$ | [14641]/16 - approximates <br> Gaussian with sigma=1 |

## Convolve \& Down-sample



- Refinement: The Gaussian Kernel is approximated by a low pass $5 \times 5$ binomial filter. - Recall Pascal Triangle


## Side Note: Separable Filter

- Can be split into a vertical component $V$ (a vector) and horizontal components H (a vector) that can be applied independently.
- It be generated by convolving the components
- Kernel $=\mathrm{H}^{*}$ V
- Result $=I^{*}$ Kernel is equivalent to
- Result $=(I * \mathrm{H}) * \mathrm{~V}$ due to the associativity of convolution.
- More efficient



## Golden Rules of Constructing the 'Gaussian' Kernel

w is a 5 element uni-modal vector (single maximum)

1. The 5 weights ' $w$ ' that are the same at each level
2. Normalized (applying w to a 'constant' image does not alter the image

$$
\sum_{m=-2}^{2} w(m)=1
$$

$$
a+2 b+2 c=1
$$

3. Symmetric about the center [ $\mathrm{c} \mathrm{b} a \mathrm{~b} \quad \mathrm{c}$ ]
4. Equal Contribution of nodes from one level to another:
$a+2 c=2 b=1 / 2$

- To Satisfy 1-4 we have 2 equations \& 3 unknowns $\rightarrow$ a remains a free parameter
http://persci.mit.edu/pub_pdfs/pyramid83.pdf


## A Pyramid



- End up with a pyramid of images of different levels: $g_{o}, g_{n}$ of resolution
- Gaussian Pyramids (reduce)
- Laplacian Pyramids (expand)


## Generating the Weights

- $w(2), \quad w(1) w(0), w(-1), w(-2)$
- $w(2)=w(-2)=1 / 4-a / 2, w(-1)=w(1)=1 / 4$
- $1 / 4-a / 2 \quad 1 / 4, \quad a \quad 1 / 4, \quad 1 / 4-a / 2$
- Usually a is [ $0.3,0.6$ ] a changes the peak of the gaussian distribution
see paper for detail/

Viewing the Pyramids In Different Domains


- Image Blurring $\rightarrow$ Low Pass Filter

Generating the Gaussian Pyramid


- $\mathrm{gk}=\operatorname{REDUCE}(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{k}-1)) \mathrm{g} 0$ is at the lowest level

Pyramid Representation of Images (A Laplacian Pyramid)


- Opposite (inverse) of the Gaussian Pyramid
$\mathrm{g}_{0,1} \quad-\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{n}=\operatorname{EXPAND}\left(\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n - 1}\right)$
- seeks to add new values in between known ones. $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{n}$ is $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}}$ expanded n
- A series of "error" images,
- A difference between two levels of a Gaussian Pyramid


## Laplacian Pyramid




- How can we reconstruct (collapse) this pyramid into the original image?


## Pyramid Blending with Regions (Mask)

Given two images $A$ and $B$, and a mask M

1. Build Laplacian Pyramids LA and LB
2. Build a Gaussian pyramid GM from selected the region $M$
3. Build a third combined Laplacian pyramid LC from LA and LB using nodes of GM as weights:
where for each level $k$, and $i, j$ :

4. Obtain the image C by expanding and summing the levels of LC .


Don't blend, Cut.


Moving objects become ghosts

- So far we only tried to blend between two images. What about finding an optimal seam?

Davis, J. 1998. Mosaics of scenes with moving objects. In Proceedings of CVPR.

## Don't Blend Cut

- Segment the mosaic
- Avoid artifacts along boundaries


- Moving objects cause "ghosting"
- Find an optimal seam as opposed to blend between images
- Idea minimal error cut.
- Final has exact pixels from an Image

Finding the Seam Minimal error


## Extension: Creating New Images



- Kwatra, Schödl, Essa, Turk, Bobick (2003), SIGGRAPH


## Extensions: Efficient Graph cuts



Minimum cost cut can be computed in polynomial time (max-flow/min-cut algorithms)

## Summary:

- Compositing images
- Have a clever blending function
- Feathering
- Blend different frequencies differently - pyramids
- Choose the right pixels from each image
- Seams
- Finding Seams Efficiently: Graph-cuts


## Resources

## Another Result: Horror Photo



Courtesy: prof. dmartin ©

## Blending/Feathering:

- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pyramid (image processing)
- Burt \& Adelson's, $1^{\text {st }}$ Pyramid Paper, 1983 (here)
- Burt \& Adelson's, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Pyramid Paper, 1983 (includes Mask pyramid) (here)
- Brown \& Lowe, Resent Blending using 2 bands, low and high frequency ( here )

Cuts/Seams:

- Davis, 1998, Mosaics of scenes with moving objects
- https://users.soe.ucsc.edu/~davis/panorama (link needs to be typed in)
- Efros \& Freeman 2001, Image Quilting
- http://www.eecs.berkeley.edu/Research/Projects/CS/vision/papers/efrossiggraph01.pdf
- Seam Carving for Content-Aware Image Resizing Seam
- Shai Avidan, Ariel Shamir, 2007 (here)
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Seam_carving
- Boykov \& Jollym, 2001, Interactive Graph Cuts, ICCV’01
- https://people.eecs.berkeley.edu/~efros/courses/AP06/Papers/boykov-iccv-01.pdf
- Kwatra, Schödl, Essa, Turk, Bobick (2003), Graph Cut Textures
- http://www.cc.gatech.edu/gvu/perception/projects/graphcuttextures/gc-final.pdf

