

A stylized graphic of a globe on the left side of the slide, showing continents in light blue and oceans in dark blue, overlaid with a white grid of latitude and longitude lines. The globe is partially cut off by the left edge of the frame.

Network Intrusion Detection: Evasion Traffic Normalization, and End-to-End Protocol Semantics

Mark Handley, Vern Paxson, Christian Kreibich

Presented By: Chris Neasbitt

Outline

- Introduction
- Normalization
- Tradeoffs
- Attacks & Defenses
- Normalization Approach
- Normalization Examples
- Evaluation
- Results

Introduction

- Passive NIDS face a fundamental problem
 - Attackers can utilize the ambiguities of network protocols to evade detection
- Exploitable ambiguities
 - NIDS may lack complete analysis of the full range of behavior for a particular protocol
 - NIDS may lack information of the victim's end-system
 - NIDS may lack a detailed understanding of the network topology

Normalization

- Attempts to solve the evasion by ambiguity by normalizing all network traffic
- Normalizer
 - is placed in the direct path of all network traffic
 - normalizes the packet stream to the NIDS to remove ambiguities
- Other Solutions
 - Host based IDS
 - Intranet Details Database
 - Bifurcating analysis

Tradeoffs

- Normalization vs. Protection
- End-to-End Semantics
- End-to-End Performance
- Amount of State Held
- Inbound vs. Outbound Traffic

Tradeoffs

- Normalization vs. Protection
 - the normalizer's position in the network makes it ideal to prevent some known attacks
 - ideal place for an Intranet firewall
- End-to-End Semantics
 - preserve the semantic meaning of the traffic in the face of normalization
- End-to-End Performance
 - traffic normalization could have an adverse affect on performance of network applications

Tradeoffs

- Amount of State Held
 - reconstruction of a flow for analysis requires some amount of state to be held
 - State Explosion
 - Triage
- Inbound vs. Outbound Traffic
 - Main task is to protect the NIDS from ambiguities
 - While located in front of the NIDS to normalize incoming traffic, two can be used to normalize both directions

Attacks and Defenses

- An attacker may attempt to subvert the normalizer
 - Stateholding Attacks
 - SYN Flood
 - Fragmentation
 - Can monitor memory usage and scale back stateholding on some flows
 - CPU Overload Attacks
 - Combined with stateholding attacks
 - Decrease system performance by analyzing a flood of difficult to normalize flows

Attacks and Defenses

- Cold Start Attack
 - a certain amount of state is required to normalize some flows
 - this state can not acquired when the normalizer first starts
 - flows already in progress
 - an attacker can attempt to evade the normalizer by keeping a long term connection open
 - when the normalizer is restarted, initiate the malicious flow

Normalization Approach

- Systematic analysis of the possible ambiguities caused by each header element
 - range of values
 - semantics
 - take the approach of normalizing everything

Type Of Service/Diffserv/ECN. These bits have recently been reassigned to differentiated services [11] and explicit congestion notification [15].

Issue: The Diffserv bits might potentially be used to deterministically drop a subset of packets at an internal Diffserv-enabled router, for example by sending bursts of packets that violate the conditioning required by their Diffserv class.

Solution: If the site does not actually use Diffserv mechanisms for incoming traffic, clear the bits.

Effect on semantics: If Diffserv is not being used internally, the bits should be zero anyway, so zeroing them is safe. Otherwise, clearing them breaks use of Diffserv.

Normalization Examples

- IP identifier and Stealth Port Scans
- Reliable RST
- Cold Start of TCP
- Incompleteness

Normalization Examples

- IP identifier and Stealth Port Scans
 - Use the incrementing IP identifier of a patsy machine to detect services running on a victims machine
 - IP id scrambling and Reliable RST
- Reliable RST
 - sends a keep-alive ACK to the receiver of a RST
 - receiver should resend a response back
 - RST if the connection closed, or ACK if the connection is not
 - Each of the alternatives leaves the normalizer in an unambiguous state

Normalization Examples

- Cold Start of TCP
 - if the traffic is outbound then initialize state
 - if inbound, incoming packet transformed into a keep-alive and then send to its destination
 - if a connection exists, the receiver should send back a response ACK
 - if not, will respond with a RST or not at all
 - window scaling is still an issue
 - need window scaling factor

Normalization Examples

- Incompleteness
 - cannot remove all ambiguities
 - sometimes the application semantics are necessary to removing ambiguities
 - its unrealistic for a normalizer to know all of the application semantics for the applications running in the intranet
 - TCP urgent pointer

Evaluation

- Implemented a fairly complete normalizer prototype called norm
 - IP, TCP, UDP, and ICMP
 - 4,800 lines of C code
 - utilizes libpcap
 - runs as a user mode application
- Utilized 3 trace files in testing
 - T1: 100K trace from LBNL containing mostly TCP
 - U1: derived from T1 replacing every TCP header with a UDP header
 - U2: 100K trace of entirely 92-byte UDP packets

Results

- FreeBSD 4.2, 1.1GHz AMD Thunderbird machine
- Memory to Memory Copy

<i>Memory-to-memory copy only</i>		
Trace	pkts/sec	bit rate
T1,U1	727,270	2856 Mb/s
U2	1,015,600	747 Mb/s

Results

- All checks enabled normalization of both inbound and outbound traffic

<i>All checks enabled</i>		
Trace	pkts/sec	bit rate
T1	101,000	397 Mb/s
U1	378,000	1484 Mb/s
U2	626,400	461 Mb/s

<i>Number of Normalizations</i>					
Trace	IP	TCP	UDP	ICMP	Total
T1	111,551	757	0	0	112,308

Results

- Packet fragmentation test
 - took every packet in the T1 trace and fragmented it if the payload was over 16 bytes.
 - increasingly randomized the order of the packets

rnd intv'l	input frags/s	frag'ed bit rate	output pkts/sec	output bit rate	pkts in cache
100	299,670	86Mb/s	9,989	39Mb/s	70
500	245,640	70Mb/s	8,188	32Mb/s	133
1,000	202,200	58Mb/s	6,740	26Mb/s	211
2,000	144,870	41Mb/s	4,829	19Mb/s	335

Results

- Inconsistent TCP retransmissions
 - duplicated every packet in T1

<i>All checks enabled</i>		
Trace	pkts/sec	bit rate
T1	101,000	397 Mb/s
T1-dup	60,220	236 Mb/s



Questions?